BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

1 IN THE MATTER OF TONY GROUT, 2 PCHB No. 536 Appellant, 3 FINAL FINDINGS OF FAC'. vs. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 4 AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 5 CONTROL AGENCY, 6 Respondent. 7

THIS MATTER being an appeal of Tony Grout to a notice of civil penalty of \$250.00 for an alleged open-burning violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 17th day of May, 1974, at Tacoma, Washington; and appellant, Tony Grout, appearing pro se and respondent, Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being W. A. Gissberg (presiding) and Mary Ellen McCaffree; and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and having entered on the 31st day of May, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 land Order; and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt 2 requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 3 The Board having received exceptions to said proposed Findings, 4 Conclusions and Order from Tony Grout and having considered and 5 denied same; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 6 now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 8 9 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 31st day of May, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 12 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this day of 3 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

22

23

24

BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

1	IN THE MATTER OF TONY GROUT,))
2)
	Appellant,) PCHB No. 536
3)
	vs.) FINDINGS OF FACT,
4) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION) AND ORDER
5	CONTROL AGENCY,)
)
6	Respondent.)
	_)
7		

The formal hearing on the appeal of Tony Grout to a notice of civil penalty of \$250.00 for an alleged open-burning violation of respondent's Regulation I came before Board members W. A. Gissberg (presiding) and Mary Ellen McCaffree on May 17, 1974 in Tacoma, Washington. Appellant appeared pro se; respondent through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Having heard the testimony, examined the exhibits and being fully advised, the Board makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

On January 20, 1974, at approximately 3:00 p.m., an outdoor fire

1 was observed in progress by the assistant chief of Fire District No. 7 on property owned or controlled by appellant at 20918 Harold Lane East, Spanaway, Washington. The fire contained natural vegetation, at least one rubber tire and small pieces of styrofoam which normally emits dense smoke.

II.

Notice of Violation No. 9090, a citation for burning restricted material in an open fire and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1370, the subject of this appeal, were thereafter mailed to appellant, citing Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I.

III.

Appellant's employee had been instructed by appellant to place natural vegetation from his property on an old burn pile thereon. . 14 Appellant instructed his employee to load the restricted material on 15 a truck and take the same to a dump. One such truckload of restricted 16 material was hauled to a garbage dump. The employee then ignited the materials which he had placed on the old burn pile. Appellant admits "that there were a few small pieces of styrofoam insulation that was burned in this fire of January 20" of which he was unaware of and which he did not authorize his employee to ignite.

IV.

Appellant did not instruct his employee to either start or not start the fire, but had instructed his employee to "go out and clean up" his property. The employee testified that he did not place any tire material on the burn pile, but only placed natural vegetative materials thereon. Appellant contends that the tire and styrofoam

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

6

7

8

11

12

21

1 on the burning pile had been there for a long time and was the result 2 of the residue of an old fire of long standing. ν. 3 Section 9.02 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful for 4 any person to cause or allow any outdoor fire containing garbage, 5 asphalt, petroleum products, paints, rubber products, plastics, or 6 any substance which normally emits dense smoke. 7 From which the Board makes the following 8 9 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 10 I. Appellant is responsible for the unlawful act of his employee, 11 whether or not he expressly authorized the ignition of the fire on a 12 3 pile containing restricted materials. The employee did act within the 14 scope of his implied authority. 15 II. 16 Appellant was in violation of Section 9.02 of respondent's 17 Regulation I for causing or allowing an outdoor fire of restricted 18 materials. 19 III. 20 Appellant has taken steps to insure that the incident does not 21 happen again. The civil penalty is the maximum allowable amount which 22 could have been invoked and the mitigating circumstances warrant a 23 partial conditional suspension. 24 Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes this 25 ORDER .6 The imposition of the civil penalty is affirmed, but \$150.00

3

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

1	thereof is suspended on condition that appellant incur no other
2	violation of respondent's Regulation I for a period of one year
3	from the date of this Order.
4	DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 3/ day of May, 1974.
5	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
6	mul_{i}
7	Mil hy living
8	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
9	On con Dec 11
10	MARY ELLEN MCCAFFREE, Member
11	
12	
3	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19).
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	•
6،	FINDINGS OF FACT,
27	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 4